## Representations of Quivers MINGLE 2012

Simon Peacock



4th October, 2012

## Outline

# Quivers

Representations

#### 2 Path Algebra Modules

**3** Modules  $\leftrightarrow$  Representations

A quiver, Q, is a directed graph.

#### A quiver, Q, is a directed graph.



A representation of a quiver over a field k is an assignment of a k-vector space,  $V_i$ , to each vertex i and a k-linear map,  $f_{\alpha} \colon V_i \to V_j$  to each edge  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$ .

A representation of a quiver over a field k is an assignment of a k-vector space,  $V_i$ , to each vertex i and a k-linear map,  $f_{\alpha} \colon V_i \to V_j$  to each edge  $i \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} j$ .



#### Morphism of representations

If  $V = (V_i, f_{\alpha})$  and  $W = (W_i, g_{\alpha})$  are two representations of a quiver Q, then a morphism  $\phi: V \to W$  is a set of k-linear maps  $\{\phi_i: V_i \to W_i \mid i \text{ a vertex}\}$ such that for each edge  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$  the square



commutes; that is  $g_{\alpha}\phi_i = \phi_j f_{\alpha}$ .

If  $V = (V_i, f_\alpha)$  and  $W = (W_i, g_\alpha)$  are two representations of a quiver Q, then a morphism  $\phi \colon V \to W$  is a set of k-linear maps  $\{\phi_i \colon V_i \to W_i \mid i \text{ a vertex}\}$ such that for each edge  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$  the square



commutes; that is  $g_{\alpha}\phi_i = \phi_j f_{\alpha}$ .

If every  $\phi_i$  is invertible then  $\phi$  is an *isomorphism*.













A ring<sup>\*</sup>, A, is an *algebra* over a field k, if A also has the structure of a k-vector space.

<sup>\*</sup>Abelian group under addition, with a (not necessarily commutative) multiplication that distributes over addition. I always assume rings have a unit.

A ring<sup>\*</sup>, A, is an *algebra* over a field k, if A also has the structure of a k-vector space.

#### Example

 $\mathbb C$  is a 2-dimensional algebra over  $\mathbb R.$ 

Representations of Quivers

<sup>\*</sup>Abelian group under addition, with a (not necessarily commutative) multiplication that distributes over addition. I always assume rings have a unit.

Example  

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3$$
  
The paths are  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \alpha, \beta$   
and  $\alpha\beta$ .



Example  

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3$$
  
The paths are  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \alpha, \beta$   
and  $\alpha\beta$ .  
 $e_1 \bullet \alpha = \alpha$   
 $\alpha \bullet \beta = \alpha\beta$ 

Example
 
$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3$$
 $e_1 \bullet \alpha = \alpha$ 
 $1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3$ 
 $\alpha \bullet \beta = \alpha\beta$ 

 The paths are  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \alpha, \beta$ 
 $\alpha \bullet e_3 = 0$ 







For a quiver Q, the path algebra over a field k, denoted kQ, is the vector space with basis all paths. The ring multiplication is then extended linearly from path multiplication.



 $\sum e_i$  is the identity element of kQ.

A module over a ring generalises the idea of a vector space over a field. M is a module over a ring R if

- M is an abelian group,
- there is a map  $M \times R \to M$  that is compatible with the ring and group operations.

A module over a ring generalises the idea of a vector space over a field. M is a module over a ring R if

- M is an abelian group,
- there is a map  $M \times R \to M$  that is compatible with the ring and group operations.

#### Example

 $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the obvious action:

$$(a_0,\ldots,a_n)x\mapsto(a_0x,\ldots,a_nx)$$

Modules of kQ are representations of Q over k.

Modules of kQ are representations of Q over k.

Given a module M:

Modules of kQ are representations of Q over k.

Given a module M:

• For a vertex i, define  $V_i = Me_i$ .

Modules of kQ are representations of Q over k.

Given a module M:

- For a vertex i, define  $V_i = Me_i$ .
- For an edge  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$ , define  $f_{\alpha}$  to be multiplication by  $\alpha$

 $me_i \mapsto me_i \alpha = m\alpha e_j \in Me_j$ 

#### Modules $\leftrightarrow$ Representations

Modules of kQ are representations of Q over k.

Given a module M:

- For a vertex i, define  $V_i = Me_i$ .
- For an edge  $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$ , define  $f_{\alpha}$  to be multiplication by  $\alpha$

 $me_i \mapsto me_i \alpha = m\alpha e_j \in Me_j$ 



Representations of Q over k are modules of kQ.

Representations of Q over k are modules of kQ.

Representations of Q over k are modules of kQ.

Given a representation  $(V_i, f_{\alpha})$ :

•  $M = \bigoplus V_i$  as an abelian group.

#### Modules $\leftrightarrow$ Representations

Representations of Q over k are modules of kQ.

- $M = \bigoplus V_i$  as an abelian group.
- M imes kQ o M is extended from the action

#### Modules $\leftrightarrow$ Representations

Representations of Q over k are modules of kQ.

- $M = \bigoplus V_i$  as an abelian group.
- M imes kQ o M is extended from the action

$$v_i ullet e_j \hspace{0.2cm} \mapsto \hspace{0.2cm} \left\{ egin{array}{cc} v_i & ext{if } i=j \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{array} 
ight. \hspace{0.2cm} ext{for } v_i \in V_i \end{array} 
ight.$$

Representations of Q over k are modules of kQ.

- $M = \bigoplus V_i$  as an abelian group.
- M imes kQ o M is extended from the action

$$\begin{array}{cccc} v_i \bullet e_j & \mapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} & & \text{for } v_i \in V_i \end{array} \right. \\ v_i \bullet \alpha & \mapsto & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f_\alpha(v_i) & \text{if } i \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bullet \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

These associations are inverses of one another.

 $M \mapsto (Me_i, \times \alpha)$ 

$$\begin{array}{rccc} M & \mapsto & (Me_i, \times \alpha) \\ & \mapsto & \bigoplus Me_i \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} M & \mapsto & (Me_i, \times \alpha) \\ & \mapsto & \bigoplus Me_i \\ & = & M \sum e_i \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} M & \mapsto & (Me_i, \times \alpha) \\ & \mapsto & \bigoplus Me_i \\ & = & M \sum e_i \\ & = & M \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} M & \mapsto & (Me_i, \times \alpha) \\ & \mapsto & \bigoplus Me_i \\ & = & M \sum e_i \\ & = & M \end{array}$$

$$(V_i, f_\alpha) \mapsto \bigoplus V_i$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} M & \mapsto & (Me_i, \times \alpha) \\ & \mapsto & \bigoplus Me_i \\ & = & M \sum e_i \\ & = & M \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (V_i, f_{\alpha}) & \mapsto & \bigoplus V_i \\ & \mapsto & \left( \left( \bigoplus_j V_j \right) e_i, f_{\alpha} \right)_{i,\alpha} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} M & \mapsto & (Me_i, \times \alpha) \\ & \mapsto & \bigoplus Me_i \\ & = & M \sum e_i \\ & = & M \end{array}$$

$$(V_i, f_{\alpha}) \mapsto \bigoplus V_i$$
  
$$\mapsto \left( \left( \bigoplus_{j} V_j \right) e_i, f_{\alpha} \right)_{i,\alpha}$$
  
$$= (V_i, f_{\alpha})$$



Representations of Quivers

Simon Peacock (University of Bristol)